Name: Miron Avidan

Affiliation: Postdoctoral research fellow, University of St. Gallen (HSG)

Contact details: Miron.Avidan@unisg.ch

The Effect of Organizational Attention Granularity on Corporate Water Performance

How does organizational attention affect corporate water performance? As climate change is shifting global precipitation patterns, more and more industries are becoming subject to water-related risks (Bowen, Bansal, & Slawinski, 2018), making it crucial to pinpoint the drivers of effective water management. Bansal, Kim, and Wood (2018) noted that organizations might miss out on such issues, e.g., because of a misfit between their attentional scale and the spatial scale of water problems. I used their framework to develop testable hypotheses. Since water-related emergencies occur within a geographically bounded region, I argued that water is essentially a local rather than a global issue (Savenije, 2002). I therefore expected finegrained attention to foster higher performance around this issue than broad-level attention.

H1: Fine-grained attention to water is positively associated with water performance.

H2: Broad-level attention to water is not associated with water performance.

Methods: My sample was comprised of 881 responses to the CDP water survey (from 635 different respondents) between 2015-2018. I used fixed-effects panel data regression analysis (Croissant & Millo, 2008) with errors clustered at the firm-level.

To measure water performance (dependent variable) I used a Thomson Reuters water performance score (0-100). As a measure of fine-grained attention to water (independent variable), I employed item W1.4a from the CDP water survey (Dahlmann & Bullock, 2020): "What proportion of suppliers do you request to report on their water use, risks and/or management information?". As a measure of broad-level attention, I employed item W1.1: "Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business".

Table 1: Water performance regressed on organizational attention (2015-2018)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	Baseline	Fine-grained	Broad level	Combined
Fine-grained attention		1.57* (1.27)		1.51* (1.26)
Broad-level attention			1.31 (0.91)	1.28 (0.89)
Revenues	2.72 (1.0)	2.31 (0.77)	1.99 (0.85)	1.91 (0.84)
Profit	-7.07** (-2.06)	-5.13** (-1.85)	-4.16** (-1.8)	-3.95** (-1.79)
Age	0.8 (0.2)	0.51 (0.01)	0.22 (0.1)	-0.01 (0.2)
Fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	881	881	881	881
R-squared	0.045	0.051	0.05	0.053
Beta coefficients (t-statistics), * p-value<0.1, ** p-value<0.05				

My findings supported both hypotheses. In support of H1, the coefficient of fine-grained attention (Model 2) was positive and significant. In support of H2, the coefficient of broadlevel attention (Model 3) was insignificant. Examining both proxies in tandem (Model 4) did not change the results.

References

- Bansal, P., Kim, A., & Wood, M. O. (2018). Hidden in plain sight: The importance of scale in organizations' attention to issues. *Academy of management review*, 43(2), 217-241.
- Bowen, F. E., Bansal, P., & Slawinski, N. (2018). Scale matters: The scale of environmental issues in corporate collective actions. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(5), 1411-1436. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:000429579600008
- Croissant, Y., & Millo, G. (2008). Panel data econometrics in R: The plm package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 27(2).
- Dahlmann, F., & Bullock, G. (2020). Nexus thinking in business: Analysing corporate responses to interconnected global sustainability challenges. *Environmental Science & Policy, 107*, 90-98. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.02.022
- Savenije, H. H. (2002). Why water is not an ordinary economic good, or why the girl is special. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 27*(11), 741-744.